

**MINUTES OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL  
AND  
SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS  
OF  
INDIANAPOLIS, MARION COUNTY, INDIANA  
MONDAY, AUGUST 11, 2025**

The City-County Council of Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana and the Indianapolis Police Special Service District Council, Indianapolis Fire Special Service District Council and Indianapolis Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Council convened in regular concurrent sessions, in the Council Chamber of the City-County Building at 7:05 p.m. on Monday, August 11, 2025, with Councilor Osili presiding.

Councilor Annee recognized Father Tim Wyciskalla, St. John the Evangelist Catholic Church, who led the opening prayer. Councilor Annee then invited all to join him in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

**ROLL CALL**

The President instructed the Clerk to take the roll call and requested members to register their presence on the voting machine. The roll call was as follows:

*23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson*  
*2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick*

A quorum of twenty-three members being present, the President called the meeting to order.

**OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS**

President Osili recognized the Honorable Mayor Joseph H. Hogsett, who made the following remarks:

President Osili, Leaders Lewis and Mowery, and members of the Council:

First, I'd like to start by thanking all of you for your involvement in this year's budget preparation. The financial proposal for 2026 that I present to you this evening has been developed by this administration after close communication about council priorities. And, of course, it also reflects a longstanding priority of this administration: strong fiscal discipline. Since 2016, we together have passed a total of 8 consecutive, fully funded, fully balanced budgets—all without a single tax increase on our residents. And this financial acuity has earned our City a Triple A Bond Rating, ensuring that our taxpayer dollars go farther and that we are able to invest more and cut less. This year, that is more important than ever. Because, while the passage of Senate Bill 1 does provide residents with property tax relief, that action and others resulted in a 43.3-million-dollar deficit to begin our budget process. That means that we inevitably had to make some difficult decisions this year. We had to ask our agencies to do more with less.

But, thanks to our strong fiscal discipline over the past 10 years, the City of Indianapolis will not falter. This year's budget reflects the need for operational efficiency while still protecting key investments in our city and its people. And, due to the collective effort of every City-County department and agency—and this Council—we are able to present to you today yet another fully balanced budget that will not dip into our fiscal reserves. And we have seen how important it is to protect these reserves. Our City needs to be prepared for the unexpected. We may not be able to anticipate every challenge that comes our way, but we must be able to face whatever it is head on. And this proposed 2026 budget will allow us to do exactly that. In 2026, we are continuing to focus on what this administration has prioritized since 2016: fiscally sustainable initiatives in key areas including public safety, infrastructure, housing, and the parks, arts, and culture

that make our great city a vibrant, exciting place to live and visit.

Let me begin by focusing on our public safety efforts. This administration implemented our Gun Violence Reduction Strategy at the beginning of 2022. And now, 3 years later, we continue to see a real difference in our city. Violent crime in Indianapolis has declined in every one of the past 3 years and is on track to do the same in 2025. And, if we compare this point in time to 2021, criminal homicides in Indianapolis have been reduced by roughly 50 percent. Public safety must continue to be a priority for our city. This proposed budget directly supports our first responders and fulfills all of our union contract commitments with IMPD, IFD, and the Marion County Sheriff's Office. Increased salaries, bonuses, and promotion paths have proved especially vital to the recruitment and retention of IMPD officers. I am pleased to report that this administration has now hired more than 900 police officers. That includes 100 new officers in just the past 18 months, with 3 more recruit classes funded by the proposed budget for 2026. One of our newest IMPD recruits is here with us today: Officer Matthew Phemster, who is a proud graduate of IMPD's 31st Recruit Class. Raised in Indianapolis, he developed a passion for service early on, inspired by his father's career in law enforcement. Officer Phemster chose a career with IMPD to give back to the city that shaped him, and to help create a safer environment for his younger brother and his neighbors. If you would please stand to be recognized, Officer Phemster.

Of course, I'd also like to recognize the brave men and women of the Indianapolis Fire Department. Next year will bring another IFD recruit class, and this proposed budget includes cutting edge equipment and a brand-new Station 33 on the Northwest Side. And to illustrate the importance of these investments, I'd like to recognize a 10-year veteran of IFD. Private Adam Gaudin of Squad 10 just made his ninth hands-on rescue last month. A 32-year-old mother had been forced to flee her burning apartment without her 5-year-old son, who was trapped in his bedroom behind the flames. Private Gaudin ran into the burning building and into the child's bedroom, where he took the boy in his arms and carried him to safety. That child is alive today thanks to Private Gaudin's bravery. And tonight, Private Gaudin is here with his officer Lieutenant Brian Sullivan, a 22-year veteran of IFD. Please stand to be recognized, Private Gaudin and Lieutenant Sullivan.

This proposed budget will also continue to support our ongoing work to reform how we address substance abuse and mental health crises in our city. Through initiatives like the Clinician-Led Community Response Teams, the Mobile Crisis Assistance Teams, our Unified Mental Health Response, and the Community Justice Campus, we continue to evolve our emergency response in Indianapolis. Of course, our city's responsiveness to emergencies is key to a safer Indianapolis for all. But so too is the ongoing work to prevent violence before it occurs. And that is why this budget will also include nearly 4.5 million dollars for the Indy Peace program—an important annual investment that we have included in our operational budget since 2023. To illustrate its impact in our community, I'd like to recognize Della Brown, Indy Peace's Outreach Program Manager. She has truly dedicated her life to this work. And that is because Della is no stranger to the tragic aftermath of gun violence. And yet she still comes to work every day to help her community, to spare them from the pain she herself has not been spared. And, with this 2026 budget proposal, we are supporting the work of Della and the entire Indy Peace team. Della, if you will please stand to be recognized. This fiscal package also includes 8 million dollars to allow the Metropolitan Emergency Services Agency to replace many of our tornado sirens that are in need of repair. It is through all of these investments that the City is working toward a public safety system of the future: one that prioritizes prevention just as much as accountability, one that is both responsive and proactive. 6

Next, I want to focus on another high priority of this administration: our city's roadways. Since 2016, we have delivered sustainable increases to DPW's funding. And these many hundreds of millions have resulted in a staggering amount of improvement to our infrastructure, including 103 miles of new or renovated sidewalks, 26 miles of bike lanes, and 32 miles of new trails. The proposed budget that we present to you tonight will continue our 5-year plan of over a billion dollars in infrastructure investment. It includes 217 million dollars in transportation funding, up from 79 million dollars 10 years ago. And I'm proud to announce that, after working with the state legislature to pass House Bill 1461, Indianapolis will soon have access to an additional 100 million dollars in infrastructure funding—50 million from the City, and 50 million matched by the State every year starting in 2027. And, our proposed 2026 budget dedicates 10 million dollars of new revenue toward road improvements, because now is the time to start laying the groundwork for Indianapolis residents to finally begin receiving their fair share of road funding dollars from the State of Indiana. But it is not enough to just improve our infrastructure—we also need to ensure the necessary funding for the people who maintain it. And I'd like to take this opportunity to recognize a couple folks who have been doing just that for decades and who are now entering their well-deserved retirements. Administrator for DPW operations Steve Pruitt, and AFSCME Local 725 President Steven Quick—if you both will please stand to be recognized.

Another vital part of our city's success is ensuring that every single Indianapolis resident has a safe place to call home. We are committed to making this a reality for our neighbors. And this year's point-in-time count underscored that there remains work left to do. With this year's budget, we are strengthening existing initiatives and spearheading new ones. In June of this year, we announced one such initiative. Streets to Home Indy represents a collaborative partnership between private, public, and faith-based institutions. Its aim is to take immediate action in getting people off the streets and into

housing. And, supported by a 2.7-million-dollar allocation from the City, Phase One of this plan has already begun. And an additional 10 million dollars in this budget package will further support initiatives to help our unhoused neighbors. But we know that the City must also work to help our neighbors keep their homes. That's why this budget will include continued investments in our affordable housing initiatives. For instance, the Tenant Advocacy Project is included in the 2026 operating budget: a program that provides free legal assistance to Indy residents who face eviction. We will also continue to fund the Homeowner Repair program, which helps to fix existing homes, and Vacant to Vibrant, which breathes new life into abandoned properties. This is how we will address housing insecurity in Indianapolis: sustained, targeted efforts that will lead to a better quality of life for all of our neighbors.

This administration considers our parks, arts, and educational opportunities to be an indispensable part of the livability of our city. For one, this budget will fund the spring semester of the Circle City Readers literacy program, which provides intensive tutoring to students in kindergarten through third grade. I am proud to report that over 60 percent of third graders in this program passed IREAD last year. And here with us today, we have a tutor who has been doing this great work for nearly the entire life of the program. Martha Garcia-Linares just started her third year at Jonathan Jennings School 109 in IPS. Students participating in our Circle City Readers at this school have demonstrated growth at all levels, and in all grade levels, which would not be possible if not for Martha and her fellow tutors. Martha, if you and the other tutors here with us tonight could please stand to be recognized. And we are supporting our city's youth in their pursuit of postsecondary education as well. This year's proposed budget will protect funding for Indy Achieves, an initiative that was created to make a college degree more attainable. In addition, our city's parks—including over 11,000 acres of greenspace and trails—have become an integral part of how we provide essential services to our residents, including free meals, summer camps, and enriching programming.

And in recent years, there has been a historic level of investment in Indy Parks. In total, we have been able to commit 140 million dollars to renovate nearly a third of our city's 218 parks and replace over 40 percent of our 132 playgrounds. Just two days ago, I celebrated new playground equipment in Pride Park with the families who call our Norwood neighborhood home. And this budget will continue the Indy Parks capital improvement plan consisting of nearly 58 million dollars to be spent over the course of the next 4 years. Of course, we are bringing this same energy and ambition to our trails. It was back in 2021 that this Council approved 25 million dollars for 9 new trail and greenway projects in our city. And since then, we have seen remarkable progress, including an extension of the Pogue's Run Trail and the Indianapolis leg of the Nickel Plate Trail. And in 2026, this work will continue as additional segments of the Eagle Creek Greenway begin to come to life. This budget will also include 1.3 million dollars for the Indianapolis Arts Council grant program that benefits our local arts and culture organizations – organizations like 1,000 Words, a Black-owned art gallery on the eastside.

Lastly, I cannot talk about the future of Indianapolis without talking about the unprecedented level of economic development and investment we are currently experiencing. Monumental projects are coming out of the ground all across our city. Projects like the Indiana Convention Center expansion, the adjoining Signia Hotel, the White River Innovation District anchored by Elanco, IU Health's Downtown Hospital, and the repurposing of Circle Centre—these developments are quite literally changing the shape of our city's skyline. And the new Indianapolis Economic Development Inc, still in its first year of existence, is already having an impact on our city's job creation and retention, with recent announcements like the expansion of Roche Diagnostics on the Northeast side of Indianapolis. And this proposed budget continues to invest in the programs that foster economic growth in our community. And our success as a city has not gone unnoticed. In fact, just last month, LinkedIn ranked Indianapolis on their list of "Top 25 Cities on the Rise," citing billions of dollars in development, record tourism, and significant corporate investment. We have also earned a place on countless other 'best' lists. To name just a few: CNBC listed Indianapolis in their top ten American Cities for job opportunities and earning potential. Zillow named Indianapolis their second hottest housing market for 2025, and Forbes ranked us at number 9 on their 2025 list of 'Best Cities to Live In.' We are building the future here in Indianapolis.

Tonight, let me conclude with this, and speak directly to the members of this body. We come from different places and different parties. We have different ideas and, at times, different perspectives on different issues. Those differences often inspire impassioned arguments from all sides. But I choose to believe that we are all trying to solve the same common challenges...and represent those who have given us the opportunity to try: To help the afflicted, To fix what is broken, To make things better. Our democracy was created to afford for our differences; To find common ground rooted in common sense and common purpose; To make decisions...every day; That make our city better...every day. And with this proposed budget, we are doing exactly that. We are working together to elevate our city to new heights. I thank you.

The President thanked Mayor Hogsett for his remarks, and stated that he is looking forward to the budget process and working with his fellow Councilors, the administration, and County elected

officials to provide a budget that meets the needs of the citizens. President Osili then called on the Clerk for the reading of Official Communications. The Clerk read the following:

TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA

Ladies And Gentlemen:

You are hereby notified the REGULAR MEETINGS of the City-County Council and Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils will be held in the City-County Building, in the Council Chambers, on Monday, August 11, 2025, at 7:00 p.m., the purpose of such MEETINGS being to conduct any and all business that may properly come before regular meetings of the Councils.

Respectfully,  
s/Vop Osili  
President, City-County Council

July 9, 2025

TO PRESIDENT OSILI AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL AND POLICE, FIRE AND SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT COUNCILS OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have approved with my signature and delivered this day to the Clerk of the City-County Council, Yulonda Winfield, the following ordinance:

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 8, 2025 – appropriates \$27,200,000 of funds not previously appropriated to various city-county funds for use by various city-county departments and agencies for additional supplemental income tax revenue

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 9, 2025 – approves a transfer totaling \$354,000 in the 2025 Budget of the Office of Finance and Management (Capital Asset Lifecycle and Development Fund) for the purposes of land acquisition associated with the Housing Hub Project

FISCAL ORDINANCE NO. 10, 2025 - approves a transfer totaling \$6,500,000 in the 2025 Budgets of the Departments of Public Works and Parks and Recreation (Capital Asset Lifecycle and Development Fund)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 15, 2025 – authorizes a signal removal at the intersection of New York Street and Belville Avenue (District 13)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 16, 2025 – authorizes a speed limit reduction to 40 miles per hour on Emerson Avenue, from Victory Drive to Thompson Road (Districts 20, 24)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 17, 2025 – authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Salem Creek and Berkshire subdivisions (District 17)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 18, 2025 – authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour on Lakeside Drive, from Lafayette Road to West 71st Street (District 1)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 19, 2025 – authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the White River Innovation District (District 18)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 20, 2025 – authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour on North Kenwood Avenue, from 46th Street to Westfield Boulevard (District 7)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 21, 2025 – authorizes restricted parking on the west side of Orchard Avenue, from 38th Street to Millersville Road (District 8)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 22, 2025 – authorizes U-turn restriction at Kiel Avenue for eastbound to westbound traffic on 38th Street (District 5)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 23, 2025 – authorizes the deletion of one-way street designations on Michigan and New York Streets, from College Avenue to Emerson Avenue (District 13)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 24, 2025 – amends parking restrictions on 16th Street east of the Monon Trail Crossing (District 13)

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 25, 2025 - amends various sections of the Revised Code concerning stormwater management to align with current practice, clarify requirements, and align with requirements within regulations of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 6, 2025 – authorizes the issuance of economic development tax increment revenue bonds for Chatham Park Development, LLC, in a maximum aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$2,012,000 for the acquisition, construction and equipping of approximately 46 residential units, with at least 5,000 square feet of street level retail space, known as the Oxford Row Project, located at 2460 and 2502 North Delaware Street, in Council District 12

GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 11, 2025 – approves the declaratory resolution that removes certain parcels from the Fall Creek/Citizens Consolidated Redevelopment Area and Fall Creek/Citizens Consolidated Housing Tax Increment Financing Area and creates the Oxford Row Economic Development Area and Oxford Row Allocation Area

GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 12, 2025 - establishes interest in the purchase of specified land, located at 963 East Georgia Street, for the Housing Hub project, which property is owned by Thomas Bets Gormley

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 15, 2025 – commemorates the Indiana Pacers' extraordinary 2025 postseason performance

SPECIAL RESOLUTION NO. 16, 2025 – recognizes “Knozone Action Days” and commends the ongoing cooperation between the State of Indiana and the City of Indianapolis to protect public health and improve air quality

s/Joseph H. Hogsett, Mayor

### **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

The President proposed the adoption of the agenda as distributed. Without further objection, the agenda was adopted as amended.

### **APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL**

The President called for additions or corrections to the Journals of July 7, 2025. There being no additions or corrections, the minutes were approved as distributed.

### **PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS, MEMORIALS, SPECIAL RESOLUTIONS, AND COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS**

**OVERVIEW PRESENTATION ON THE 2026 CITY-COUNTY BUDGET** – Abigail Hanson, City Controller

Ms. Hanson provided a brief PowerPoint presentation outlining the 2026 Proposed Budget for all City and County agencies and departments. The multi-year strategy focuses on consistently balanced budgets, preserved fund balances and balance growth where possible, protected and improved credit rating, and maintaining or increasing investments in public safety, infrastructure, neighborhoods and economic development. For the 2026 Budget, she noted that it is the eighth consecutive balanced budget with strategic investments in our people, public safety, anti-violence, infrastructure, traffic safety and quality of life initiatives. She said that they have used conservative revenue estimates while anticipating steady economic growth, and are continuing to commit to no tax increases. She reviewed budget numbers at a top level with graphs charting the percentages planned for different areas of government. She briefly reviewed initiatives in the areas of public health and safety, violence prevention, infrastructure and community investment, and the Transportation and Stormwater Capital Plans.

President Osili thanked Ms. Hanson for the overview presentation of the 2026 proposed budget.

Councilor Robinson reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal Nos. 187 and 216, 2025 on July 16, 2025. He asked for consent to vote on these proposals together. Consent was given.

NO. 187, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Robinson, appoints Ellise Smith to the Citizens' Police Complaint Board. PROPOSAL NO. 216, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Robinson, appoints Jocelyn Mappes to the Citizens' Police Complaint Board. By 11-0 votes, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that they do pass. Councilor Robinson moved, seconded by Councilor Lewis, for adoption. Proposal Nos. 187 and 216, 2025 were adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

*23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson*  
*0 NAYS:*  
*2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick*

Proposal No. 187, 2025 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 69, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 69, 2025

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Ellise Smith to the Citizens' Police Complaint Board.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Citizens' Police Complaint Board, the Council appoints:

Ellise Smith

SECTION 2. The appointment made by this resolution is for a term ending July 7, 2028. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council. Upon expiration of the term, the appointee may serve until his or her successor is appointed and qualifies, for a period not to exceed the holdover duration set by statute.

Proposal No. 216, 2025 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 70, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 70, 2025

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Jocelyn Mappes to the Citizens' Police Complaint Board.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Citizens' Police Complaint Board, the Council appoints:

Jocelyn Mappes

SECTION 2. The appointment made by this resolution is for a term ending December 31, 2026. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council. Upon expiration of the term, the appointee may serve until his or her successor is appointed and qualifies, for a period not to exceed the holdover duration set by statute.

PROPOSAL NO. 208, 2025. Councilor A. Brown reported that the Community Affairs Committee heard Proposal No. 208, 2025 on July 23, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilors A. Brown and Roberts, approves the Mayor's appointment of Amanda Dehoney as the Director of the Animal Care Services Agency. By a 7-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor A. Brown moved, seconded by Councilor Gibson, for adoption. Proposal No. 208, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

August 11, 2025

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 208, 2025 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 71, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 71, 2025

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION approving the Mayor’s appointment of Amanda Dehoney as the Director of the Animal Care Services Agency for a term ending December 31, 2025, and until a successor is appointed and confirmed.

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-3-5-2 and Section 288-201 of the “Revised code of the Consolidated City and County,” a mayoral appointment of Director of the Animal Care Services Agency is subject to the approval of the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis has submitted to this Council the name Amanda Dehoney to serve as the Director of the Animal Care Services Agency at his pleasure for a term ending December 31, 2025; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Amanda Dehoney is approved and confirmed by the City- County Council to serve as the Director of the Animal Care Services Agency for a term ending December 31, 2025, and until a successor is appointed and confirmed.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC § 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 209, 2025. Councilor Lewis reported that the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 209, 2025 on July 14, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Hart reappoints Daniel Moriarty to the Metropolitan Development Commission. By an 11-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Lewis moved, seconded by Councilor A. Brown, for adoption. Proposal No. 209, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 209, 2025 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 72, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 72, 2025

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION reappointing Daniel Moriarty to the Metropolitan Development Commission.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Metropolitan Development Commission, the Council reappoints:

Daniel Moriarty

SECTION 2. The reappointment made by this resolution is for a term ending August 11, 2026. The person reappointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council. Upon expiration of the term, the appointee may serve until his or her successor is appointed and qualifies, for a period not to exceed the holdover duration set by statute.

PROPOSAL NO. 214, 2025. Councilor Boots reported that the Parks and Recreation Committee heard Proposal No. 214, 2025 on July 10, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Boots, approves the Mayor's appointment of Brittany Crone as the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation. By a 9-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Boots moved, seconded by Councilor A. Brown, for adoption. Proposal No. 214, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

*23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson*

*0 NAYS:*

*2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick*

Proposal No. 214, 2025 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 73, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 73, 2025

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION approving the Mayor's appointment of Brittany Crone as the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation for a term ending December 31, 2025, and until a successor is appointed and confirmed.

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 36-3-5-2 and Section 201-3 of the "Revised code of the Consolidated City and County," a mayoral appointment of Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation is subject to the approval of the City-County Council; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor of the City of Indianapolis has submitted to this Council the name of Brittany Crone to serve as the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation at his pleasure for a term ending December 31, 2025; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Brittany Crone is approved and confirmed by the City- County Council to serve as the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation for a term ending December 31, 2025, and until a successor is appointed and confirmed.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with IC § 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 215, 2025. Councilor Boots reported that the Parks and Recreation Committee heard Proposal No. 215, 2025 on July 10, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilors Jones and Bain, appoints Trena Roudebush to the Indianapolis Greenways Development Committee. By an 8-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Boots moved, seconded by Councilor Gibson, for adoption. Proposal No. 215, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

*23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson*

*0 NAYS:*

*2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick*

Proposal No. 215, 2025 was retitled COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 74, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 74, 2025

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION appointing Trena Roudebush to the Indianapolis Greenways Development Committee.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. As a member of the Indianapolis Greenways Development Committee, the Council appoints:

Trena Roudebush

SECTION 2. The appointment made by this resolution is for a term ending August 11, 2029. The person appointed by this resolution shall serve at the pleasure of the Council. Upon expiration of the term, the appointee may serve until his or her successor is appointed and qualifies, for a period not to exceed the holdover duration set by statute.

**INTRODUCTION OF PROPOSALS**

PROPOSAL NO. 233, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Mascari. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which reappoints Ebony Chappel to the Alcoholic Beverage Board of Marion County"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 234, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Mascari. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which appoints Laura Larimer to the Information Technology Board"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 235, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Osili. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends Sec. 291-602 of the Revised Code to modify the definition of confidential employees"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 236, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Hart and Bain. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends Sec. 279-241 and Sec. 291-112 of the Revised Code with respect to residency requirements for city employees"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 237, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Roberts. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which appoints Brad Klopfenstein to the Citizens Advisory Committee for the Lawrence Township Comprehensive Plan"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 238, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Lewis. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Council Resolution which appoints Lori Miser to the City Market Corporation Board of Directors"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 239, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Lewis. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends various sections of the Revised Code to reflect fee, penalty, and policy changes regarding enforcement and permitting within the Department of Business and Neighborhood Services"; and the President referred it to the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 240, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Boots, Nielsen, Lewis and Osili. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution which approves the issuance of special taxing district bonds of the Metropolitan Thoroughfare District pursuant to IC 36-9-6.5 in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$4,000,000, for the purpose of procuring funds to be applied the cost of the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of certain snow trucks, paving and line striping equipment, together with necessary appurtenances, related improvements and equipment, including incidental expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the bonds"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 241, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Boots, Nielsen, Lewis and Osili. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution which approves the issuance of special taxing district bonds of the Public Safety Communications Systems and Computer Facilities District, pursuant to IC 36-8-15 in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$8,500,000, for the purpose of procuring funds to be applied the cost of the acquisition, construction, installation and equipping of certain tornado sirens, together with necessary appurtenances, related improvements and equipment, including incidental expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the bonds"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 242, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Boots, Nielsen, Lewis and Osili. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Special Ordinance which authorizes the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds of the consolidated city in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$8,500,000, to proceed with the acquisition, design, planning, enabling work, site-work, construction, installation, equipping and/or financing of all or a portion of the costs of a new fire station for Ladder 33, together with necessary appurtenances, related improvements and equipment, including incidental expenses incurred in connection with the issuance of the bonds"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 243, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Robinson. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution which directs the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee to review and accept the submission by the Sheriff of the Commissary Fund Report"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 244, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Hart and Bain. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends Chapter 279, Division 9 of the Revised Code converting the General Orders Board into the General Orders Advisory Board"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 245, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Hart and Bain. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which amends Chapter 381 of the Revised Code further defining parental responsibilities under the juvenile curfew law"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 246, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Barth. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes intersection controls at 56th Street and Haverford Avenue (District 7)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 247, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Bain. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes intersection controls at Lynhurst Drive and Mooresville Road (District 21)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 248, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Mowery. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes intersection controls at Acton Road and Southeastern Avenue (District 25)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 249, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Barth. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Canterbury Neighborhood (District 7)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 250, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Barth. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Forest Hills Neighborhood (District 7)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 251, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Annee. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Buck Creek Village and Cedar Park subdivisions (District 22)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 252, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Robinson. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Diamond Place subdivision (District 1)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 253, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Boots. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Boulevard Manor subdivision (District 3)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 254, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Carlino. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour in the Kessler Park Neighborhood (District 11)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 255, 2025. Introduced by Councilor J. Brown. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Ordinance which authorizes a speed limit reduction to 25 miles per hour from State Street to Emerson Avenue (District 13)"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 256, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Robinson. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a PSSD Fiscal Ordinance which approves the tax levy and rate for the Police Special Service District for 2026"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 257, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Robinson. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a FSSD Fiscal Ordinance which approves the tax levy and rate for the Fire Special Service District for 2026"; and the President referred it to the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 258, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Jones. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a SWCSSD Fiscal Ordinance which approves the tax levy and rate for the Solid Waste Collection Special Service District for 2026"; and the President referred it to the Public Works Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 259, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Osili, A. Brown, Lewis, Boots and Nielsen. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which adopts the annual budget for the City of Indianapolis and Marion County for 2026"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance, Community Affairs, Metropolitan and Economic Development, Parks and Recreation, Public Safety and Criminal Justice, and Public Works Committees.

PROPOSAL NO. 273, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Osili, Lewis, Nielsen and Boots. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a Fiscal Ordinance which approves an additional appropriation totaling \$20,000,000 in the 2025 Budget of the Departments of Metropolitan Development and Public Works (Consolidated County General and Capital Asset Lifecycle and Development Funds) for the purpose of funding homelessness initiatives and the design of public infrastructure improvements"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

PROPOSAL NO. 274, 2025. Introduced by Councilors Osili, Lewis, Nielsen and Boots. The Clerk read the proposal entitled: "A Proposal for a General Resolution which proposes a resolution of the Marion County Local Income Tax Council to request approval from the department of local government finance to lower the levy freeze tax rate and to cast the vote of the City-County Council on such resolution"; and the President referred it to the Administration and Finance Committee.

**SPECIAL ORDERS - PRIORITY BUSINESS**

PROPOSAL NOS. 260-266, 2025, PROPOSAL NO. 267, 2025 AND PROPOSAL NOS. 268-272, 2025. Introduced by Councilor Lewis. Proposal Nos. 260-266, 2025, Proposal No. 267, 2025 and Proposal Nos. 268-272, 2025 are proposals for Rezoning Ordinances certified for approval by the Metropolitan Development Commission on July 14, 15, and 28, 2025, respectively. The President called for any motions for public hearings on any of those zoning maps changes. There being no motions for public hearings, the proposed ordinances, pursuant to IC 36-7-4-608, took effect as if adopted by the City-County Council, were retitled for identification as REZONING ORDINANCE NOS. 77-89, 2025, the original copies of which ordinances are on file with the Metropolitan Development Commission, which were certified as follows:

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 77, 2025.  
2025-ZON-037  
2226 Carrollton Avenue (*Approximate Address*)

Center Township, Council District #8  
Carrollton Commons, LLC, by Misha Rabinowitch  
Rezoning of 1.05 acres from the D-8 district to the D-P district to provide for a 25-unit modular townhome community.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 78, 2025.  
2025-ZON-048  
122 and 130 West Raymond Street (*Approximate Addresses*)  
Center Township, Council District #18  
Kio Hmung, by Raymond A. Basile  
Rezoning of 0.23-acre from the C-1 district to the C-4 district to provide for an automobile repair shop.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 79, 2025.  
2025-ZON-049  
1344, 1346, and 1352 Deloss Street (*Approximate Addresses*)  
Center Township, Council District #18  
Malika Choudhary, by Mark and Kim Crouch  
Rezoning of 0.26-acre from the I-2 (TOD) district to the D-8 (TOD) district to provide for residential uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 80, 2025.  
2025-ZON-050  
526 and 534 South Keystone Avenue (*Approximate Addresses*)  
Center Township, Council District #18  
Miguel Villasol, by Mark and Kim Crouch  
Rezoning of 0.37-acre from the D-5 district to the D-5II classification to provide for residential uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 81, 2025.  
2025-ZON-051  
4324 East New York Street (*Approximate Address*)  
Center Township, Council District #13  
Malak Services, LLC, by Terrance Kinnard  
Rezoning of 0.08-acre from the C-3 (TOD) district to the D-5 (TOD) district to legally establish a detached single-family dwelling.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 82, 2025.  
2025-ZON-052  
4446 Mann Road (*Approximate Address*)  
Decatur Township, Council District #21  
Dave and Sons Properties II, by David Gilman  
Rezoning of 0.825-acre from the C-3 district to the C-4 district for an automobile fueling station and a convenience store.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 83, 2025.  
2025-CZN-820  
4221 North Emerson Avenue (*Approximate Address*)  
Lawrence Township, Council District #9  
Spivey Properties, LLC, by David Gilman  
Rezoning of 0.789-acre from the D-2 and C-3 districts to the C-3 district to provide for neighborhood commercial uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 84, 2025.  
2025-ZON-032  
2947 North Sherman Drive (*Approximate Address*)  
Center Township, Council District #8  
Parajmit Singh, by Thomas L. Pottschmidt  
Rezoning of 1.145 acres from the D-5 district to the C-3 district to provide for commercial uses.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 85, 2025.

2025-ZON-040

2601 Cold Spring Road (*Approximate Address*)

Wayne Township, Council District #12

Cold Spring Innovation Corporation, by Jennifer Milliken and Timothy Ochs

Rezoning of 22.3 acres from the D-S (W-5) district to the MU-2 (W-5) district to provide for a mixed-use development.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 86, 2025.

2025-ZON-057

7905 East 42nd Street (*Approximate Address*)

Lawrence Township, Council District #9

Kartik Patel, by Thomas Pottschmidt

Rezoning of 3.28 acres from the C-3 and C-4 districts to the C-4 district to provide for community-regional commercial uses, including a proposed hotel.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 87, 2025.

2025-ZON-058

1229 and 1231 Brookside Avenue (*Approximate Addresses*)

Center Township, Council District #13

Clairoline, LLC, by Donas Singleton

Rezoning of 0.14-acre from the MU-1 (FF) district to the D-8 (FF) district.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 88, 2025.

2025-CZN-822

3802 and 3810 North Franklin Road (*Approximate Addresses*)

Lawrence Township, Council District #9

C-S (TOD)

Cornerstone Housing Group, LLC, by Brad Schwab and Bobbi Jo Lucas Eisold

Rezoning of 8.69 acres from the C-S (TOD) district to the D-10 (TOD) district to provide for a multi-family residential development, consisting of 186 units.

REZONING ORDINANCE NO. 89, 2025.

2025-CZN-824 (Amended)

963, 1001, 1007, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1015, and 1027 East Georgia Street, and 960, 1002, 1018, and 1022

and 1030 Bates Street (*Approximate Addresses*)

Center Township, Council District #18

The City of Indianapolis by Aryn Schounce

Rezoning of 1.76 acres from the D-8, C-S (TOD), and I-4 districts to the C-S (TOD) district to provide for not-for-profit uses, including case management and services, offices, commercial kitchen and cafeteria, emergency shelter, and accessory uses such as outdoor gathering space, per the filed plan of operation.

### **SPECIAL ORDERS - FINAL ADOPTION**

PROPOSAL NO. 185, 2025. Councilor Lewis reported that the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 185, 2025 on July 14, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilors Osili and Jones, approves a payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT), as provided by I.C. 36-3-2-12, for Christamore Court Rehab, LP, for an affordable housing project being financed in part with low-income housing tax credits pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, known as Christamore Court Apartments, consisting of 40 age-restricted apartment units and two residential houses, together with functionally related and subordinate facilities for low and moderate-income individuals, located at 2226 and 2330 West Michigan Street, 458 Haugh Street and 413 North Goodlet Avenue, in Districts 12 and 18. By an 11-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Lewis moved, seconded by Councilor Jones, for adoption. Proposal No. 185, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

August 11, 2025

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson

0 NAYS:

2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 185, 2025 was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 7, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 7, 2025

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE approving Payments in Lieu of Taxes (“PILOTS”) as provided in Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12 (the “Act”) for an affordable housing project being financed in part with low-income housing tax credits pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

WHEREAS, the Act authorizes the legislative body of the City of Indianapolis (the “City”) to adopt an ordinance to require a property owner to pay PILOTS at times set forth in the ordinance with respect to real property that is subject to an exemption under Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7; and

WHEREAS, Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7 provides for a property tax exemption for a project where (1) the improvements on the real property were constructed, rehabilitated, or acquired for the purpose of providing housing to income eligible persons under the federal low income housing tax credit program under 26 U.S.C. § 42; (2) the real property is subject to an extended use agreement under 26 U.S.C. § 42 as administered by the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority; and (3) the owner of the property has entered into an agreement to make payments in lieu of taxes in accordance with the Act; and

WHEREAS, Christamore Court Rehab, L.P., an Indiana limited partnership (the “Owner”), has or will acquire certain real estate in Wayne Township, Marion County, Indiana, located at 2226 & 2330 W Michigan Street, 458 Haugh Street and 413 North Goodlet Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana, and identified as Marion County Parcel Numbers 9018245, 9017680, 9024119, and 9016811 (collectively, the “Real Estate”), and upon which Owner desires to rehabilitate a forty (40) unit age-restricted affordable housing facility and two residential houses (collectively with the Real Estate, the “Project”), which Owner has represented will be owned and operated as an affordable age-restricted housing facility pursuant to the federal low income housing tax credit program under 26 U.S.C. § 42 with an extended use agreement that is expected to continue for thirty (30) years after completion of construction; and

WHEREAS, the City and Owner desire to approve the PILOTS to facilitate the Project and provide additional affordable housing in the City; and

WHEREAS, the terms and conditions of the PILOTS are contained in Exhibit A (the “PILOT Agreement”), which include without limitation an annual payment of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Six and No/100 Dollars (\$3,755.00) (the “Base Amount”), with the Base Amount increasing annually by three percent (3%) during the term of the fifteen (15) year PILOT Period (as defined in the PILOT Agreement) (the “PILOT Payment”); and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes and agrees that in accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(f)(2), the percentage generated by dividing the annual PILOT Payment by the amount of the property taxes that would have been paid to the City if the Project was not subject to an exemption from property taxation shall be the percentage of the property taxes required to be paid by the Owner to the City on an annual basis during the term of the PILOT Agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the Act, the City desires to authorize and enter into the PILOT Agreement; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The forgoing recitals are fully incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. It is hereby found that the acquisition of the Real Estate and construction of the Project will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the City and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 3. The PILOT Agreement is hereby approved by the City, and the Owner shall make the annual PILOT Payment in accordance with the terms of the PILOT Agreement, which is conditioned upon, among other requirements, the Owner receiving property tax exemptions for each parcel of the Real Estate pursuant to Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7.

SECTION 4. In accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(h), the PILOT Payment shall be deposited in the City's housing trust fund established under Indiana Code § 36-7-15.1-35.5 and used for any purpose for which the housing trust fund may be used.

SECTION 5. In accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(e), the Owner has consented to this Ordinance and the PILOT Payment, which shall be illustrated by the City's Department of Metropolitan Development ("DMD") and Owner executing the PILOT Agreement in substantially the same form of the attached, subject to necessary and appropriate updates and revisions agreed to by DMD and the Owner.

SECTION 6. DMD and the City Controller are authorized and directed to execute the PILOT Agreement approved herein after it has been finalized by DMD and the Owner, and DMD is further authorized to execute any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and DMD's execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the City. The signature of the authorized signatory of DMD and the City Controller on the PILOT Agreement may be facsimile signatures.

SECTION 7. By adopting this Ordinance, authorizing and directing DMD and the City Controller to finalize and execute the PILOT Agreement, and authorizing the PILOT Payment, the City has undertaken all required action contained within the Act.

SECTION 8. If any section, paragraph or provision of this Ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such section, paragraph or provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this Ordinance.

SECTION 9. All ordinances, resolutions and orders or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

SECTION 10. It is hereby determined that all formal actions of the Council relating to the adoption of this Ordinance were taken in one or more open meetings of the Council, that all deliberations of the Council and of its committees, if any, which resulted in formal action, were in meetings open to the public, and that all such meetings were convened, held and conducted in compliance with applicable legal requirements, including Indiana Code 5-14-1.5 et seq., as amended.

SECTION 11. DMD and City Controller are authorized to take all such further actions or to execute, attest and deliver such further instruments and documents in the name of the City as in DMD's and the City Controller's judgment shall be necessary or advisable in order to fully consummate the PILOT Agreement and the Project and carry out the purposes of this Ordinance.

SECTION 12. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with Indiana Code § 36-3-4-14 and shall automatically terminate upon the termination of the PILOT Agreement.

PROPOSAL NO. 186, 2025. Councilor Lewis reported that the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 186, 2025 on July 14, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Gibson, approves a payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT), as provided by I.C. 36-3-2-12, for BPCP Apartments, LP, for an affordable housing project being financed in part with low-income housing tax credits pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, known as Broadway Park and Citizens Park, consisting of 74 affordable housing units for low-income residents, located at 605, 617, and 625 East 38th Street, 3760 Broadway Street, and 2222 North College Avenue, in District 8. By an 11-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Lewis moved, seconded by Councilor A. Brown, for adoption. Proposal No. 186, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 186, 2025 was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 8, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 8, 2025

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE approving Payments in Lieu of Taxes (“PILOTS”) as provided in Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12 (the “Act”) for two affordable housing projects being financed in part with low-income housing tax credits pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

WHEREAS, the Act authorizes the legislative body of the City of Indianapolis (the “City”) to adopt an ordinance to require a property owner to pay PILOTS at times set forth in the ordinance with respect to real property that is subject to an exemption under Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7; and

WHEREAS, Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7 provides for a property tax exemption for a project where (1) the improvements on the real property were constructed, rehabilitated, or acquired for the purpose of providing housing to income eligible persons under the federal low income housing tax credit program under 26 U.S.C. § 42; (2) the real property is subject to an extended use agreement under 26 U.S.C. § 42 as administered by the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority; and (3) the owner of the property has entered into an agreement to make payments in lieu of taxes in accordance with the Act; and

WHEREAS, BPCP Apartments, LP, an Indiana limited partnership (the “Owner”), has or will acquire certain real estate in Center Township, Marion County, Indiana, which consists of scattered parcels located at 605, 617, and 625 East 38th Street, 3760 Broadway Street, and 2222 North College Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana, and identified as Marion County Parcel Numbers 1062003, 1018867, 1014162, 1010620, 1019434 and 1011883 (collectively, the “Real Estate”), and upon which Owner desires to develop two separate affordable housing projects for low income residents to be known as Broadway Park, consisting of forty (40) affordable housing units for low income residents, and Citizens Park, consisting of thirty-four (34) affordable housing units for low income residents (collectively with the Real Estate, the “Projects”), which Owner has represented will be owned and operated as affordable housing facilities pursuant to the federal low income housing tax credit program under 26 U.S.C. § 42 with an extended use agreement that is expected to continue for forty (40) years after completion of construction; and

WHEREAS, the City and Owner desire to approve the PILOTS to facilitate the Projects and provide additional affordable housing in the City; and

WHEREAS, the terms and conditions of the PILOTS are contained in Exhibit A (the “PILOT Agreement”), which include without limitation, (i) during the construction of the Projects, an annual payment equal to the property taxes for the tax year in question that would have been payable by Owner on the assessed value of the Property if Owner was a non-exempt taxpayer, and (ii) thereafter an annual payment for fifteen (15) years equal to Fifteen Thousand Six Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$15,600.00) (the “Base Amount”), with the Base Amount increasing annually by two percent (2%) during the term of the fifteen (15) year PILOT Period (as defined in the PILOT Agreement) (the “PILOT Payment”); and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes and agrees that in accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(f)(2), the percentage generated by dividing the annual PILOT Payment by the amount of the property taxes that would have been paid to the City if the Projects were not subject to an exemption from property taxation shall be the percentage of the property taxes required to be paid by the Owner to the City on an annual basis during the term of the PILOT Agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the Act, the City desires to authorize and enter into the PILOT Agreement; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The forgoing recitals are fully incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. It is hereby found that the acquisition of the Real Estate and construction of the Projects will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the City and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 3. The PILOT Agreement is hereby approved by the City, and the Owner shall make the annual PILOT Payment in accordance with the terms of the PILOT Agreement, which is conditioned upon, among other requirements, the Owner receiving property tax exemptions for each parcel of the Real Estate pursuant to Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7.

SECTION 4. In accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(h), the PILOT Payment shall be deposited in the City's housing trust fund established under Indiana Code § 36-7-15.1-35.5 and used for any purpose for which the housing trust fund may be used.

SECTION 5. In accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(e), the Owner has consented to this Ordinance and the PILOT Payment, which shall be illustrated by the City's Department of Metropolitan Development ("DMD") and Owner executing the PILOT Agreement in substantially the same form of the attached, subject to necessary and appropriate updates and revisions agreed to by DMD and the Owner.

SECTION 6. DMD and the City Controller are authorized and directed to execute the PILOT Agreement approved herein after it has been finalized by DMD and the Owner, and DMD is further authorized to execute any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and DMD's execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the City. The signature of the authorized signatory of DMD and the City Controller on the PILOT Agreement may be facsimile signatures.

SECTION 7. By adopting this Ordinance, authorizing and directing DMD and the City Controller to finalize and execute the PILOT Agreement, and authorizing the PILOT Payment, the City has undertaken all required action contained within the Act.

SECTION 8. If any section, paragraph or provision of this Ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such section, paragraph or provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this Ordinance.

SECTION 9. All ordinances, resolutions and orders or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

SECTION 10. It is hereby determined that all formal actions of the Council relating to the adoption of this Ordinance were taken in one or more open meetings of the Council, that all deliberations of the Council and of its committees, if any, which resulted in formal action, were in meetings open to the public, and that all such meetings were convened, held and conducted in compliance with applicable legal requirements, including Indiana Code 5-14-1.5 et seq., as amended.

SECTION 11. DMD and City Controller are authorized to take all such further actions or to execute, attest and deliver such further instruments and documents in the name of the City as in DMD's and the City Controller's judgment shall be necessary or advisable in order to fully consummate the PILOT Agreement and the Projects and carry out the purposes of this Ordinance.

SECTION 12. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with Indiana Code § 36-3-4-14 and shall automatically terminate upon the termination of the PILOT Agreement.

PROPOSAL NO. 210, 2025. Councilor Lewis reported that the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 210, 2025 on July 14, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Hart, approves the statement of benefits of Thunderbird CC Land Partners, LLC, an applicant for tax abatement for property located in an economic revitalization area. By an 11-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Lewis moved, seconded by Councilor A. Brown, for adoption. Proposal No. 210, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 210, 2025 was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 13, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 13, 2025

PROPOSAL FOR A GENERAL RESOLUTION to approve the statement of benefits of Thunderbird CC Land Partners LLC., (hereinafter referred to as "Applicant"), an applicant for tax abatement for property located in an allocation area as defined by IC 36-7-15.1-26.

WHEREAS, IC 6-1.1-12.1 allows a partial abatement of property taxes attributable to redevelopment, rehabilitation activities, or installation of new equipment in Economic Revitalization Areas (each hereinafter referred to as a "Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-1.1-12.1, the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County, Indiana, acting as the Redevelopment Commission of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (hereinafter referred to as "MDC") is empowered to designate Economic Revitalization Areas; and

WHEREAS, IC 6-1.1-12.1 requires an applicant for Economic Revitalization Area designation to provide a statement of benefits and requires the MDC, before it makes a decision to designate the area as an Economic Revitalization Area, to determine that (i) the estimated value of a Project is reasonable for projects of that nature, (ii) the estimated employment at the indicated annual salaries for a Project identified in the statement of benefits can reasonably be expected, (iii) a Project can be reasonably expected to yield the benefits identified in the statement of benefits and (iv) the totality of benefits arising from a Project is sufficient to justify Economic Revitalization Area designation; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-1.1-12.1-2(k), a statement of benefits for property located within an allocation area, as defined by IC 36-7-15.1-26, may not be approved unless the City-County Council of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana (hereinafter referred to as "Council") adopts a resolution approving the statement of benefits; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has submitted a real property Statement of Benefits to the MDC as part of its application for Economic Revitalization Area designation for property where Applicant's Project will occur, located within an allocation area, as defined by IC 36-7-15.1-26; and

WHEREAS, MDC has preliminarily approved Applicant's Statements of Benefits, pending adoption from the Council, to allow the designation of the Economic Revitalization Area and related tax abatement pursuant to IC 6-1.1-12.1; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby approves the Statements of Benefits that were submitted to the MDC, as part of the application for Economic Revitalization Area designation, by Thunderbird CC Land Partners LLC.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in effect from and after its passage by the Council and compliance with Ind. Code 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 211, 2025. Councilor Lewis reported that the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 211, 2025 on July 14, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Roberts, approves the statement of benefits of Roche Diagnostics Operations, Inc., an applicant for tax abatement for property located in an economic revitalization area. By a 10-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do

pass. Councilor Lewis moved, seconded by Councilor A. Brown, for adoption. Proposal No. 211, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 211, 2025 was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 14, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 14, 2025

PROPOSAL FOR A GENERAL RESOLUTION to approve the statement of benefits of Roche Diagnostics Operations, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "Applicant"), an applicant for tax abatement for property located in an allocation area as defined by IC 36-7-15.1-26.

WHEREAS, IC 6-1.1-12.1 allows a partial abatement of property taxes attributable to redevelopment, rehabilitation activities or installation of new equipment in Economic Revitalization Areas (each hereinafter referred to as a "Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-1.1-12.1, the Metropolitan Development Commission of Marion County, Indiana, acting as the Redevelopment Commission of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (hereinafter referred to as "MDC") is empowered to designate Economic Revitalization Areas; and

WHEREAS, IC 6-1.1-12.1 requires an applicant for Economic Revitalization Area designation to provide a statement of benefits and requires the MDC, before it makes a decision to designate the area as an Economic Revitalization Area, to determine that (i) the estimated value of a Project is reasonable for projects of that nature, (ii) the estimated employment at the indicated annual salaries for a Project identified in the statement of benefits can reasonably be expected, (iii) a Project can be reasonably expected to yield the benefits identified in the statement of benefits and (iv) the totality of benefits arising from a Project is sufficient to justify Economic Revitalization Area designation; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to IC 6-1.1-12.1-2(k), a statement of benefits for property located within an allocation area, as defined by IC 36-7-15.1-26, may not be approved unless the City-County Council of Indianapolis and Marion County, Indiana (hereinafter referred to as "Council") adopts a resolution approving the statement of benefits; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has submitted a real and personal property Statement of Benefits to the MDC as part of its application for Economic Revitalization Area designation for property where Applicant's Project will occur, located within an allocation area, as defined by IC 36-7-15.1-26; and

WHEREAS, MDC has preliminarily approved Applicant's Statements of Benefits, pending adoption from the Council, to allow the designation of the Economic Revitalization Area and related tax abatement pursuant to IC 6-1.1-12.1; now, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby approves the Statements of Benefits that were submitted to the MDC, as part of the application for Economic Revitalization Area designation, by Roche Diagnostics Operations, Inc.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall be in effect from and after its passage by the Council and compliance with Ind. Code 36-3-4-14.

PROPOSAL NO. 212, 2025. Councilor Lewis reported that the Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee heard Proposal No. 212, 2025 on July 14, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Gibson, approves a payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) as provided for in IC 36-3-2-12, for an affordable housing project being financed in part with low-income housing tax credits, pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, known as Monon 35 -

Phase I, consisting of 200 affordable housing units for low-income residents on the parcel located at 3500 Sutherland Avenue, District 8. By an 11-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Lewis moved, seconded by Councilor A. Brown, for adoption. Proposal No. 212, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: *Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson*  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: *Brown-J, McCormick*

Proposal No. 212, 2025 was retitled SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 9, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. 9, 2025

A SPECIAL ORDINANCE approving Payments in Lieu of Taxes (“PILOTS”) as provided in Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12 (the “Act”) for an affordable housing project being financed in part with low-income housing tax credits pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

WHEREAS, the Act authorizes the legislative body of the City of Indianapolis (the “City”) to adopt an ordinance to require a property owner to pay PILOTS at times set forth in the ordinance with respect to real property that is subject to an exemption under Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7; and

WHEREAS, Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7 provides for a property tax exemption for a project where (1) the improvements on the real property were constructed, rehabilitated, or acquired for the purpose of providing housing to income eligible persons under the federal low income housing tax credit program under 26 U.S.C. § 42; (2) the real property is subject to an extended use agreement under 26 U.S.C. § 42 as administered by the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority; and (3) the owner of the property has entered into an agreement to make payments in lieu of taxes in accordance with the Act; and

WHEREAS, Monon 35 Phase I, LP, an Indiana limited partnership (the “Owner”), has or will acquire certain real estate in Center Township, Marion County, Indiana, which consists of the parcel located at 3500 Sutherland Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana, and identified as Marion County Parcel Number 1100676 (the “Real Estate”), and upon which Owner desires to develop a two hundred (200) unit affordable housing facility for low income residents to be known as Monon 35 – Phase I (collectively with the Real Estate, the “Project”), which Owner has represented will be owned and operated as affordable housing facility pursuant to the federal low income housing tax credit program under 26 U.S.C. § 42 with an extended use agreement that is expected to continue for forty (40) years after completion of construction; and

WHEREAS, the City and Owner desire to approve the PILOTS to facilitate the Project and provide additional affordable housing in the City; and

WHEREAS, the terms and conditions of the PILOTS are contained in Exhibit A (the “PILOT Agreement”), which include without limitation, (i) during the construction of the Project, an annual payment equal to the property taxes for the tax year in question that would have been payable by Owner on the assessed value of the Property if Owner was a non-exempt taxpayer, and (ii) thereafter an annual payment for fifteen (15) years equal to Forty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$40,000.00) (the “Base Amount”), with the Base Amount increasing annually by two percent (2%) during the term of the fifteen (15) year PILOT Period (as defined in the PILOT Agreement) (the “PILOT Payment”); and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes and agrees that in accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(f)(2), the percentage generated by dividing the annual PILOT Payment by the amount of the property taxes that would have been paid to the City if the Project was not subject to an exemption from property taxation shall be the percentage of the property taxes required to be paid by the Owner to the City on an annual basis during the term of the PILOT Agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to and in accordance with the Act, the City desires to authorize and enter into the PILOT Agreement; now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. The forgoing recitals are fully incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. It is hereby found that the acquisition of the Real Estate and construction of the Project will be of benefit to the health or general welfare of the City and its citizens and does comply with the purposes and provisions of the Act.

SECTION 3. The PILOT Agreement is hereby approved by the City, and the Owner shall make the annual PILOT Payment in accordance with the terms of the PILOT Agreement, which is conditioned upon, among other requirements, the Owner receiving property tax exemptions for each parcel of the Real Estate pursuant to Indiana Code § 6-1.1-10-16.7.

SECTION 4. In accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(h), the PILOT Payment shall be deposited in the City's housing trust fund established under Indiana Code § 36-7-15.1-35.5 and used for any purpose for which the housing trust fund may be used.

SECTION 5. In accordance with Indiana Code § 36-3-2-12(e), the Owner has consented to this Ordinance and the PILOT Payment, which shall be illustrated by the City's Department of Metropolitan Development ("DMD") and Owner executing the PILOT Agreement in substantially the same form of the attached, subject to necessary and appropriate updates and revisions agreed to by DMD and the Owner.

SECTION 6. DMD and the City Controller are authorized and directed to execute the PILOT Agreement approved herein after it has been finalized by DMD and the Owner, and DMD is further authorized to execute any other document which may be necessary or desirable to consummate the transaction, and DMD's execution is hereby confirmed on behalf of the City. The signature of the authorized signatory of DMD and the City Controller on the PILOT Agreement may be facsimile signatures.

SECTION 7. By adopting this Ordinance, authorizing and directing DMD and the City Controller to finalize and execute the PILOT Agreement, and authorizing the PILOT Payment, the City has undertaken all required action contained within the Act.

SECTION 8. If any section, paragraph or provision of this Ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such section, paragraph or provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this Ordinance.

SECTION 9. All ordinances, resolutions and orders or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict, hereby repealed.

SECTION 10. It is hereby determined that all formal actions of the Council relating to the adoption of this Ordinance were taken in one or more open meetings of the Council, that all deliberations of the Council and of its committees, if any, which resulted in formal action, were in meetings open to the public, and that all such meetings were convened, held and conducted in compliance with applicable legal requirements, including Indiana Code 5-14-1.5 et seq., as amended.

SECTION 11. DMD and City Controller are authorized to take all such further actions or to execute, attest and deliver such further instruments and documents in the name of the City as in DMD's and the City Controller's judgment shall be necessary or advisable in order to fully consummate the PILOT Agreement and the Project and carry out the purposes of this Ordinance.

SECTION 12. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with Indiana Code § 36-3-4-14 and shall automatically terminate upon the termination of the PILOT Agreement.

PROPOSAL NO. 213, 2025. Councilor Evans reported that the Municipal Corporations Committee heard Proposal No. 213, 2025 on August 7, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Evans, approves the issuance of general obligation refunding bonds up to \$150,000,000 by The Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County, Indiana to refund certain prior obligations of the Health and Hospital Corporation that financed a portion of the Wishard Hospital Project and pay costs of issuance in connection therewith and approves and authorizes other actions with respect thereto and the appropriation of the proceeds thereof. By an 8-0 vote, the committee

reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass. Councilor Evans moved, seconded by Councilor Gibson, for adoption. Proposal No. 213, 2025 was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

*23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson*

*0 NAYS:*

*2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick*

Proposal No. 213, 2025 was retitled GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 15, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL RESOLUTION NO. 15, 2025

PROPOSAL FOR A GENERAL RESOLUTION to approve the issuance of general obligation refunding bonds by The Health and Hospital Corporation of Marion County, Indiana (the "Health and Hospital Corporation") to refund certain prior obligations of the Health and Hospital Corporation that financed a portion of the Wishard Hospital Project (as defined below) and pay costs of issuance in connection therewith and approving and authorizing other actions where respect thereto and the appropriation of the proceeds thereof.

WHEREAS, the "Wishard Hospital Project" means the construction and equipping of a replacement hospital and related facilities for Wishard Health Services previously located at 1001 West Tenth Street (the "Wishard Complex"), together with land acquisition and site development related thereto and all projects and activities related to any of the foregoing, including, but not limited to, all or any portion of the following: (1) acquisition of land and any improvements located thereon and any site development related thereto, (2) renovation and equipping of any such buildings, and the construction and equipping of one or more buildings which will replace the existing hospital and related facilities for the Wishard Complex and provide all or any portion of (a) inpatient services, (b) diagnostic and treatment, (c) clinical support, (d) non-clinical support, (e) offices and education, and (f) public and building functions, (3) construction and equipping of a new ambulatory care building, (4) construction and equipping of one or more related parking garages and/or surface lots, (5) construction and equipping of a central plant for all of the foregoing facilities, and (6) all projects related to any of the projects or facilities described in clauses (1) through and including (5); and

WHEREAS, on November 3, 2009, the Wishard Hospital Project and the financing thereof, through the issuance of the bonds and/or entering into a lease, were approved by a majority of the voters in Marion County, Indiana, who voted in the local public question regarding such matter; and

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2009, the City-County Council of the City of Indianapolis and of Marion County, Indiana, adopted General Resolution No. 28, 2009, approving the issuance of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds (as defined herein) and authorizing the Health and Hospital Corporation to enter into a lease with the Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority for the purpose of financing all or any portion of the costs of the Wishard Hospital Project; and

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2009, the Board of Trustees of the Health and Hospital Corporation adopted Resolution No. 16-2009 authorizing the issuance of its (1) General Obligation Bonds (Wishard Hospital Project), Series 2010 A-1, in the aggregate principal amount of \$40,800,000, which are no longer outstanding, and (2) General Obligation Bonds (Wishard Hospital Project), Series 2010 A-2, dated February 17, 2010, in the aggregate principal amount of \$154,200,000, \$140,960,000 of which are currently outstanding (the "Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds"), for the purpose of financing a portion of the Wishard Hospital Project, to fund a debt service reserve fund, and to pay transaction costs related thereto; and

WHEREAS, The Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank (the "Bond Bank") issued its Bonds, Series 2010 A-2 (Build America Bonds – Direct Payment – Federally Taxable) on February 17, 2010 (the "Series 2010 A-2 BABs") in order to provide funds to purchase the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds from the Health and Hospital Corporation, to fund a debt service reserve fund and to pay costs of issuance related thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Bond Bank designated its Series 2010 A-2 BABs as "Build America Bonds" for purposes of Sections 54AA or 6431 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as such Sections were added by Section 1531 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "2009 Recovery Act")), which authorized issuers to issue taxable

bonds, known as “build America bonds,” to finance capital expenditures for which it could have issued tax-exempt bonds, and to elect to receive payments (the “Subsidy Payments”) directly from the United States Treasury, contemporaneously with each interest payment date under such taxable bonds, equal to 35% of the interest payable on such taxable bonds on such date; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act, on March 1, 2013, the President of the United States issued a sequestration order which required automatic spending cuts (“Sequestration”) to reduce the budget deficit; and

WHEREAS, the impact of Sequestration has included annual reductions in Subsidy Payments owed to issuers of Build America Bonds, including to the Bond Bank (which has therefore negatively impacted the Health and Hospital Corporation), as follows: (i) an 8.7% reduction of all Subsidy Payments made between March 27 and September 30, 2013; (ii) a 7.2% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2013 and on or before September 30, 2014; (iii) a 7.3% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2014 and on or before September 30, 2015; (iv) a 6.8% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2015 and on or before September 30, 2016; (v) a 6.9% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2016 and on or before September 30, 2017; (vi) a 6.6% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2017 and on or before September 30, 2018; (vii) a 6.2% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2018 and on or before September 30, 2019; (viii) a 5.9% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2019 and on or before September 30, 2020; and (ix) a 5.7% reduction of all Subsidy Payments processed on or after October 1, 2020 and will be applied to all Subsidy Payments processed on or before September 30, 2031, unless and until a law is enacted that cancels or otherwise impacts the Sequestration, at which time the Sequestration reduction rate is subject to change; and

WHEREAS, as a result of such Sequestration, there has been a modification, amendment and/or interpretation of Sections 54AA or 6431 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as such Sections were added by Section 1531 of the 2009 Recovery Act) in a manner pursuant to which the Subsidy Payment owed to issuers of Build America Bonds have been, and are expected to continue to be, reduced, which therefore makes the refunding of the Bond Bank’s Series 2010 A-2 BABs advisable and in the best interests of the Health and Hospital Corporation; and

WHEREAS, it would be of public utility and benefit and in the best interests of the Health and Hospital Corporation and its citizens to refund all or any portion of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds (thereby effecting a current refunding of all or a portion of the Bond Bank’s Series 2010 A-2 BABs), to fund a debt service reserve fund or purchase a debt service reserve fund surety policy (if necessary), and to pay incidental expenses in connection therewith and on account of the issuance of the issuing general obligation refunding bonds, in order to achieve gross cash flow savings and eliminate the continued risks posed by the Subsidy Payments and the effects of Sequestration; and

WHEREAS, before the Health and Hospital Corporation may issue any general obligation bonds, Indiana Code 36-3-6-9 requires that the City-County Council approve the issuance of such bonds; and

WHEREAS, the City-County Council being duly advised, finds that it is in the best interests of the City of Indianapolis, Indiana (the “City”), the County and their citizens for the Health and Hospital Corporation to issue its general obligation refunding bonds to refund all or any portion of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds, in order to better serve the residents of the City and the County; now therefore:

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

SECTION 1. In accordance with Indiana Code 36-3-6-9, the City-County Council hereby approves the issuance by the Health and Hospital Corporation of its general obligation refunding bonds in one or more series (the “Refunding Bonds”), for the purpose of providing funds to refund all or any portion of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds, all upon the following conditions: (a) the original aggregate principal amount of the Refunding Bonds shall not exceed \$150,000,000; (b) the maximum interest rate or rates on the Refunding Bonds shall produce a yield not exceeding six and one-half percent (6.50%) per annum; and (c) the final maturity date of any series of the Refunding Bonds shall be no later than January 15, 2040 (which is the current final maturity date of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds).

SECTION 2. In accordance with Indiana Code 6-1.1-18-5, the City-County Council hereby approves the additional appropriation made by the Health and Hospital Corporation not to exceed \$150,000,000 which appropriation is to be provided out of the proceeds of the Refunding Bonds received by the Health and Hospital Corporation in connection with the sale of the Refunding Bonds, together with all investment earnings thereon, for the use by the Health and Hospital Corporation to refund all or a portion of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds, to fund a debt service refund fund (if necessary), and to pay incidental expenses in connection therewith and on account of the issuance of the Refunding Bonds. Such

appropriation shall be in addition to all appropriations provided for in the existing budget and levy and shall continue in effect until the completion of the refunding of the Series 2010 A-2 HHC Bonds. Any surplus of such proceeds may be used to pay debt service on the Refunding Bonds or otherwise used as permitted by law.

SECTION 3. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon adoption and compliance with Indiana Code §§ 36-3-4-14, 36-3-4-15 and 36-3-4-16.

PROPOSAL NO. 232, 2025. Councilor Robinson reported that the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee heard Proposal No. 232, 2025 on July 16, 2025. The proposal, sponsored by Councilor Robinson, amends Chapter 381, Sections 101 and 102 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis - Marion County with respect to curfew hours for children. By a 10-0 vote, the committee reported the proposal to the full Council with the recommendation that it do pass as amended.

Councilor Delaney made the following motion:

*Mr. President:*

*I move to further amend amended-Proposal No. 232, 2025, adding the below-included recitals to the beginning of the proposal and by deleting the language that is ~~double stricken through~~ and add the double underlined language to read as follows.*

*PROPOSAL FOR A GENERAL ORDINANCE to amend Chapter 381, Sections 101 and 102 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis – Marion County with respect to curfew hours for children.*

*Whereas Indiana Code (IC) 31-37-3 establishes the state law pertaining to curfew for children under the age of 17, and*

*Whereas, chapter 381 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County establishes those same restrictions as the local law pertaining to curfew for children under the age of 17, and*

*Whereas, IC 31-37-3-4 allows for the advancement, by ordinance, of the local curfew if a city or county determines that the hours established are later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions found to exist in the city or county, and*

*Whereas, a recent mass shooting occurred in downtown Indianapolis, resulting in multiple injuries and fatalities, thereby creating a substantial threat to public safety.*

*Whereas, incidents of gun violence during the evening hours involved children under the age of seventeen (17) years of age, which presents an immediate and ongoing risk to the safety of minors and the general public.*

*Whereas, the City of Indianapolis has determined that the conditions exist to preserve public safety to temporarily advance the curfew hours for children for one hundred twenty (120) days.*

*Whereas, the City Indianapolis endeavors to establish those new hours by this ordinance; now therefore:*

**BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:**

*SECTION 1. Chapter 381, ~~Sections 101 and 102~~ of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County is hereby amended by adding the language that has been underlined and deleting the language that has been stricken through to read as follows:*

~~*Sec. 381-101. Curfew hours for children fifteen, sixteen and seventeen years of age.*~~

~~It is unlawful for a child fifteen (15), sixteen (16), or seventeen (17) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:~~

- ~~(1) Between 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m. on Saturday or Sunday After 11:00 p.m. on Friday or Saturday;~~
- ~~(2) After 11:00 p.m. 9:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or~~
- ~~(3) Before 5:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday or Friday any day.~~

~~Sec. 381-102. Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.~~

~~It is unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 11:00 p.m. 9:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day.~~

Sec. 381-101. Curfew hours for children fifteen, sixteen and seventeen years of age.

It is unlawful for a child fifteen (15), sixteen (16), or seventeen (17) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:

- (1) Between 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m. on Saturday or Sunday;
- (2) After 11:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or
- (3) Before 5:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday.

Sec. 381-102. Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.

It is unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 11:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Sec. 381-103. Public Safety Curfew hours for children fifteen, sixteen and seventeen years of age.

Whenever it has been determined that the curfew time established by Section 381-101 is later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions found to exist within the county, it shall be ~~it is~~ unlawful for a child fifteen (15), sixteen (16), or seventeen (17) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:

- (1) After 11:00 p.m. on Friday or Saturday;
- (2) After 9:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or
- (3) Before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Sec. 381-104. Public Safety Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.

Whenever it has been determined that the curfew time established by Section 381-102, is later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions found to exist within the county, it shall be ~~it is~~ unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 9:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day

Sec. 381-105. Application of Public Safety Curfew Hours.

The Public Safety Curfew hours shall be enacted in compliance with Ind. Code § 31-37-3-4, which permits the Consolidated City and County to advance the curfew hours by no more than two (2) hours when the curfew hours are later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions that exist in the Consolidated City and County. The provisions advancing the curfew hours shall expire after one hundred twenty (120) days, absent further action by this Council regarding a determination that advancement of the curfew hours is reasonably necessary for public safety. Indemnification, as required in IC 24-13-3-5(d) and 5(e), shall apply to actions taken pursuant to enforcement of this provision.

Sec. 381-~~103~~ 106. Application.

(a) Sections 381-101 ~~and through 381-102~~ 104 of this chapter do not apply to a child who is:

- (1) Accompanied by the child's parent, guardian, or custodian;
- (2) Accompanied by an adult specified by the child's parent, guardian, or custodian;
- (3) With the consent of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian, either participating in, going to, or returning from:

- a. Lawful employment;
  - b. A school-sanctioned activity;
  - c. A religious event;
  - d. An emergency involving the protection of a person or property from an imminent threat of serious bodily injury or substantial damage;
  - e. An expressive, religious, or associational activity protected by either federal or state law, including but not limited to the free exercise of religion, freedom of speech, and the right of assembly;
  - f. An activity conducted by a non-profit or governmental entity that provides recreation, education, training, or other care under the supervision of one (1) or more adults; or
  - g. An activity undertaken at the written direction of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian; or,
- (4) Engaged in interstate or international travel from a location outside Indiana to another location outside Indiana.

(b) No complaint shall be filed unless the officer reasonably believes that an offense has occurred under this section and that no defense exists for the alleged violation.

*Sec. 381-~~104~~ 107. Enforcement.*

(a) A child who commits a curfew violation under this chapter is subject to the enforcement procedures provided in IC 34-28-5-1. Whenever a complaint is filed against a child for a violation of this chapter, the city shall direct a copy of the complaint to the Juvenile Division of the Marion Superior Court, and to the child's parent, guardian, or custodian, if such person is known or can be identified by a reasonable inquiry.

(b) In addition to the imposition of fines as provided in section 103-3 of the Code, the court upon request shall order such injunctive relief as is appropriate and necessary to prevent a child from committing further violations of this chapter.

*Sec. 381-~~105~~ 108. Curfew; responsibility of parent, guardian, or custodian.*

*It is unlawful for a parent, guardian or custodian of a child under the age of eighteen (18) years recklessly to cause, suffer, or allow that child to commit a curfew violation under this chapter. If a parent, guardian, custodian, or child is charged with a second or subsequent violation of this section or this chapter, there shall be a presumption that he or she is responsible under this section for the child's violation of this chapter.*

Councilor Gibson seconded the motion.

Councilor Robinson said that this was brought up by Councilors Boots and Delaney in committee and discussed, and he fully supports the amendment.

Councilor Carlino asked if the public safety hours are only for youth covered by the curfew. Councilor Delaney said that this is already a part of the ordinance, but this is mostly legal preamble that sets into the play the public safety hours, and really has no actual changes to those hours.

Councilor Boots said that there was some concern with the initial language as to what triggers and who has the responsibility to call for an emergency curfew. This insures that they have to state why there is a public safety danger that would trigger new curfew hours.

Councilor Cahill said that the Indiana State Code says under the age of 18, and he asked why this language has the age of 17 and under. General Counsel LeAnnette Pierce said that she does not have the Code in front of her. Councilor Cahill said that he is okay with the concept of this amendment, but is not sure if that age should be fixed. Counsel Pierce said that if it is incorrect per ordinance, they can correct the language as a scrivener's error. Councilor Delaney said that

the preamble refers to under the age of 17, and is not listed in Code, actually, but that could be changed with a scrivener’s error.

The motion to amend carried on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Council Evans made the following motion:

Mr. President:

I move to further amend amended-Proposal No. 232, 2025, by deleting the language that is ~~double stricken through~~ and add the double underlined language to read as follows.

PROPOSAL FOR A GENERAL ORDINANCE to amend Chapter 381, Sections 101 and 102 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis – Marion County with respect to curfew hours for children.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Chapter 381, ~~Sections 101 and 102~~ of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County is hereby amended by adding the language that has been underlined ~~and deleting the language that has been stricken through~~ to read as follows:

~~Sec. 381-101. Curfew hours for children fifteen, sixteen and seventeen years of age.~~

~~It is unlawful for a child fifteen (15), sixteen (16), or seventeen (17) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:~~

- ~~(4) Between 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m. on Saturday or Sunday After 11:00 p.m. on Friday or Saturday;~~
- ~~(5) After 11:00 p.m. 9:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or~~
- ~~(6) Before 5:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday or Friday any day.~~

~~Sec. 381-102. — Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.~~

~~It is unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 11:00 p.m. 9:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day.~~

Sec. 381-101. Curfew hours for children fifteen, sixteen and seventeen years of age.

It is unlawful for a child fifteen (15), sixteen (16), or seventeen (17) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:

- (1) Between 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m. on Saturday or Sunday;
- (2) After 11:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or
- (3) Before 5:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday.

Sec. 381-102. Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.

It is unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 11:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Sec. 381-103. Public Safety Curfew hours for children ~~fifteen or sixteen and seventeen~~ years of age.

It is unlawful for a child ~~fifteen (15) or sixteen (16), or seventeen (17)~~ years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:

- (4) After 11:00 p.m. on Friday or Saturday;
- (5) After 9:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or
- (6) Before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Whenever this provision is implemented, any child seventeen years of age shall remain subject to the Revised Code Section 101.

Councilor Evans said a 17-year-old out at 10:00 p.m. should not be a crime, since it does not even get dark in summer until almost 10:00 p.m. This would ensure the same 11:00 p.m. curfew for 17-year-olds.

Councilor Barth seconded the motion.

Councilor Gibson asked if there is therefore no changes for 17-year-olds. Councilor Evans said this is correct.

Councilor Bain said that Councilor Evans used the word crime, but he wanted to clarify this is a status violation. Counsel Pierce agreed and said that it is just an ordinance violation and not a crime.

Councilor Hart said that they heard this in committee and Chief Christopher Bailey, IMPD, stated that there would be discretion for a police officer if a 17-year-old was driving home from work after 9:00 p.m.; and the officer would have the choice on whether or not to enforce the citation. Chief Bailey confirmed that officers always have discretion according to State law, and there are exceptions carved out for school, work and church events. He said that the discretion is behavioral based, and said that if there are two kids sleeping at a bus terminal at 3:00 a.m., discretion would dictate that they find a safe place for them to sleep off the streets. Councilor Hart said that he believes they should keep the language as it is, and allow the officer the discretion.

Councilor Gibson asked the Chief's opinion if they should keep the language as is, or revise it as per this amendment. Chief Bailey said that it is exciting the Council is addressing this issue, and IMPD will enforce whatever the Council enacts fairly. He said that he personally has a 17-year-old daughter at home who would be pleased with this change. He added that there is a big difference between a 15-year-old and a 17-year-old.

Councilor Boots said that he supports the amendment; and said that 17-year-olds are a step away from voting and being drafted and possibly killed in combat. A 17-year-old attending a late movie is not a danger; the proliferation of guns is the real problem.

Councilor Bain said that it is not the goal of IMPD to arrest every 17-year-old, but this is a targeted way to get in between a 17-year-old making a bad decision that will ruin the rest of their life. This would be taking tools away from public safety officials in his opinion.

Councilor Delaney said that this is only for the purpose of the public safety hours, and they would still be subject to the 11:00 p.m. curfew. This would create a two-hour window in a 120-day period.

The motion to amend carried on the following roll call vote; viz:

17 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
6 NAYS: Annee, Bain, Cahill, Dilk, Hart, Mowery  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Councilor Robinson moved, seconded by Councilor Gibson, for adoption, as amended. Proposal No. 232, 2025, as amended, was adopted on the following roll call vote; viz:

23 YEAS: Allen, Annee, Bain, Barth, Boots, Brown-A, Cahill, Carlino, Delaney, Dilk, Evans, Gibson, Graves, Hart, Jones, Lewis, Mascari, Mowery, Nielsen, Osili, Perkins, Roberts, Robinson  
0 NAYS:  
2 ABSENT: Brown-J, McCormick

Proposal No. 232, 2025, as amended, was retitled GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 26, 2025, and reads as follows:

CITY-COUNTY GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 26, 2025

PROPOSAL FOR A GENERAL ORDINANCE to amend Chapter 381 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis – Marion County with respect to curfew hours for children.

WHEREAS, Indiana Code (IC) 31-37-3 establishes the state law pertaining to curfew for children under the age of 18, and

WHEREAS, chapter 381 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County establishes those same restrictions as the local law pertaining to curfew for children under the age of 18, and

WHEREAS, IC 31-37-3-4 allows for the advancement, by ordinance, of the local curfew if a city or county determines that the hours established are later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions found to exist in the city or county, and

WHEREAS, a recent mass shooting occurred in downtown Indianapolis, resulting in multiple injuries and fatalities, thereby creating a substantial threat to public safety; and

WHEREAS, incidents of gun violence during the evening hours involved children under the age of eighteen (18) years of age, which presents an immediate and ongoing risk to the safety of minors and the general public; and

WHEREAS, the City of Indianapolis has determined that the conditions exist to preserve public safety to temporarily advance the curfew hours for children for one hundred twenty (120) days; and

WHEREAS, the City Indianapolis endeavors to establish those new hours by this ordinance; now therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY-COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE  
CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND OF MARION COUNTY, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. Chapter 381 of the Revised Code of the Consolidated City of Indianapolis and Marion County is hereby amended by adding the language that has been underlined and deleting the language that has been stricken through, to read as follows:

Sec. 381-101. Curfew hours for children fifteen, sixteen and seventeen years of age.

It is unlawful for a child fifteen (15), sixteen (16), or seventeen (17) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:

- (1) Between 1:00 a.m. and 5:00 a.m. on Saturday or Sunday;
- (2) After 11:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or
- (3) Before 5:00 a.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday.

Sec. 381-102. Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.

It is unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 11:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Sec. 381-103. Public Safety Curfew hours for children fifteen or sixteen years of age.

Whenever it has been determined that the curfew time established by Section 381-101 is later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions found to exist within the county, it shall be unlawful for a child fifteen (15) or sixteen (16) years of age to be in a public place in the county at any time during the following curfew hours:

- (7) After 11:00 p.m. on Friday or Saturday;
- (8) After 9:00 p.m. on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday; or
- (9) Before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Whenever this provision is implemented, any child seventeen years of age shall remain subject to the Revised Code Section 381-101.

Sec. 381-104. Public Safety Curfew hours for children younger than fifteen years of age.

Whenever it has been determined that the curfew time established by Section 381-102, is later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions found to exist within the county, it shall be unlawful for a child younger than fifteen (15) years of age to be in a public place in the county after 9:00 p.m. or before 5:00 a.m. on any day.

Sec. 381-105. Application of Public Safety Curfew Hours.

The Public Safety Curfew hours shall be enacted in compliance with Ind. Code § 31-37-3-4, which permits the Consolidated City and County to advance the curfew hours by no more than two (2) hours when the curfew hours are later than is reasonable for public safety under the conditions that exist in the Consolidated City and County. The provisions advancing the curfew hours shall expire after one hundred twenty (120) days, absent further action by this Council regarding a determination that advancement of the curfew hours is reasonably necessary for public safety. Indemnification, as required in IC 24-13-3-5(d) and 5(e), shall apply to actions taken pursuant to enforcement of this provision.

Sec. 381-~~103~~ 106. Application.

- (a) Sections 381-101 ~~and through 381-102~~ 104 of this chapter do not apply to a child who is:
- (1) Accompanied by the child's parent, guardian, or custodian;
  - (2) Accompanied by an adult specified by the child's parent, guardian, or custodian;
  - (3) With the consent of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian, either participating in, going to, or returning from:
    - a. Lawful employment;
    - b. A school-sanctioned activity;
    - c. A religious event;
    - d. An emergency involving the protection of a person or property from an imminent threat of serious bodily injury or substantial damage;
    - e. An expressive, religious, or associational activity protected by either federal or state law, including but not limited to the free exercise of religion, freedom of speech, and the right of assembly;
    - f. An activity conducted by a non-profit or governmental entity that provides recreation, education, training, or other care under the supervision of one (1) or more adults; or
    - g. An activity undertaken at the written direction of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian; or,
  - (4) Engaged in interstate or international travel from a location outside Indiana to another location outside Indiana.

(b) No complaint shall be filed unless the officer reasonably believes that an offense has occurred under this section and that no defense exists for the alleged violation.

Sec. 381-~~104~~ 107. Enforcement.

(a) A child who commits a curfew violation under this chapter is subject to the enforcement procedures provided in IC 34-28-5-1. Whenever a complaint is filed against a child for a violation of this chapter, the city shall direct a copy of the complaint to the Juvenile Division of the Marion Superior Court, and to the child's parent, guardian, or custodian, if such person is known or can be identified by a reasonable inquiry.

(b) In addition to the imposition of fines as provided in section 103-3 of the Code, the court upon request shall order such injunctive relief as is appropriate and necessary to prevent a child from committing further violations of this chapter.

Sec. 381-~~105~~ 108. Curfew; responsibility of parent, guardian, or custodian.

It is unlawful for a parent, guardian or custodian of a child under the age of eighteen (18) years recklessly to cause, suffer, or allow that child to commit a curfew violation under this chapter. If a parent, guardian, custodian, or child is charged with a second or subsequent violation of this section or this chapter, there shall be a presumption that he or she is responsible under this section for the child's violation of this chapter.

SECTION 2. The expressed or implied repeal or amendment by this ordinance of any other ordinance or part of any other ordinance does not affect any rights or liabilities accrued, penalties incurred, or proceedings begun prior to the effective date of this ordinance. Those rights, liabilities, and proceedings are continued, and penalties shall be imposed and enforced under the repealed or amended ordinance as if this ordinance had not been adopted.

SECTION 3. Should any provision (section, paragraph, sentence, clause, or any other portion) of this ordinance be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid for any reason, the remaining provision or provisions shall not be affected, if and only if such remaining provisions can, without the invalid provision or provisions, be given the effect intended by the Council in adopting this ordinance. To this end the provisions of this ordinance are severable.

SECTION 4. This ordinance shall be in effect from and after its passage by the Council and compliance with Ind. Code § 36-3-4-14.

## **ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT**

The President said that the docketed agenda for this meeting of the Council having been completed, the Chair would entertain motions for adjournment.

Councilor Mowery stated that he had been asked to offer the following motions for adjournment:

by Councilor Barth in memory of Jon Atkins and Kevin Alan McKelvey; and

by Councilor Roberts in memory of Bob Boone, Pam Waltemath, David Olson, Mark Cook, and Vicki Thorpe; and

by Councilor Osili in memory of Alfred Dosekun, Terri L. Johnson, Martha Jane Gentry, Olatunji Disu and Oscar Oral Grundy; and

by Councilor Boots in memory of Ellen Marie Miller.

Councilor Mowery moved the adjournment of this meeting of the Indianapolis City-County Council in recognition of and respect for the life and contributions of Jon Atkins, Kevin Alan McKelvey, Bob Boone, Pam Waltemath, David Olson, Mark Cook, Vicki Thorpe, Alfred Dosekun, Terri L. Johnson, Martha Jane Gentry, Olatunji Disu, Oscar Oral Grundy, and Ellen Marie Miller. He respectfully asked the support of fellow Councilors. He further requested that the motion be

August 11, 2025

made a part of the permanent records of this body and that a letter bearing the Council seal and the signature of the President be sent to the families advising of this action.

There being no further business, and upon motion duly made and seconded, the meeting adjourned at 8:33 p.m.

We hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and complete record of the proceedings of the regular concurrent meetings of the City-Council of Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana, and Indianapolis Police, Fire and Solid Waste Collection Special Service District Councils on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2025.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto subscribed our signatures, caused the Seal of the City of Indianapolis to be affixed.



President

ATTEST:



(SEAL)

Clerk